

Final Proof: Pope Francis is the False Prophet!

On this program I have the unpleasant duty of informing you that Pope Francis has indeed verified he is the False Prophet as found in Bible prophecy. A little less than a week ago he released his apostolic exhortation called 'Amoris Laetitia' and hidden in there, in a tiny foot note (351), he states he is going to allow divorced and remarried Catholics to receive Holy Communion. And as I've been saying all along on this program, for at least three years now, that will be the **'taking away of the daily sacrifice from the prince'**, that is, the Abomination of Desolation, warned about by Jesus in the Olivet Discourse.

Jesus said: **'when you see the abomination of desolation spoken of by Daniel the prophet then let those who are in the field not go back to take their cloaks'**. And I have told you before, the 'field' represents the Roman Catholic Church; those who flee the field represent the remnant Catholics and they will be protected in the desert by Mary's Rosary and Scapular. The reason we will have to depend on her Rosary and Scapular is because the daily sacrifice, that is the Holy Eucharist, will have been taken away by our phony friend, the False Prophet, Pope Francis!

And now here is a very interesting article I want to read for you from <http://chiesa.espresso.repubblica.it/articolo/1351273bdc4.html>, and this verifies what I'm saying; that Pope Francis, the False Prophet, is allowing divorced and remarried Catholics to receive Communion, going against two thousand years of Church teaching. He is not really allowed to do that but he is anyway. And I've also told you all along that we still have a true Pope, Pope Benedict. I suspect Pope Benedict will come out against this very shortly. And the headline here is: **'Francis and Antonio, a couple in excellent society. The pope has in Father Antonio Spadaro a Jesuit like him, his authorized translator. Here is how La Civiltà Cattolica restates in clearer words what Amoris Laetitia presents in elusive form'**.

Well, the Pope was elusive because he did not want to spell out what he expected the bishops to do. In fact, at the end of the two synods, the bishops came out with their own document and no where did they mention allowing Communion for divorced and remarried Catholics. And the Pope was very angry about that, if you remember; he chided them for not being 'merciful' enough. In other words, they did not provide him cover. But that did not stop him. He had to do it in order to

satisfy the whims of Satan. Satan cannot allow Holy Communion in these End Times because it gives Catholics too much power and authority. But he won't succeed!

And the date line for this article is April 12, 2016, a couple of days ago, please remember that date; that date is prophesied by Daniel, a very interesting prophecy. ***'It was an easy prediction to make when the Jesuit, Antonio Spadaro, pontificated last November that on access to the sacraments, the ordinary synod had effectively laid the foundations (well I doubt that) opening a door that at the previous synod had instead remained closed and this in spite of the fact that in the Relatio Finalis of the synod, the words communion and access to the sacraments did not appear even once'***.

In other words, Pope Francis had to make up his new rule out of whole cloth. The bishops did not authorize this at all; not that a lot of them didn't support him. ***'Francis is silent but another Jesuit is speaking for him in presenting the postsynodic exhortation, Amoris Laetitia, today in the latest issue of La Civiltà Cattolica promptly released in conjunction with the publication of the papal document Father Spadaro shows no hesitation in declaring that prophecy to have been fulfilled.'*** Well, Father Spadaro, yes, your prophecy was fulfilled but Daniel's prophecy was even more accurate! Daniel gave the exact date when Pope Francis, the False Prophet, would take the daily sacrifice away from the prince and that is truly amazing and I will explain that in a minute.

'Francis, he writes confidently, has removed all the limits of the past even in sacramental discipline for so-called irregular couples ('irregular' means a man leaves his wife and marries another, he commits adultery; however that 'irregular' marriage is no longer an impediment to receiving the sacraments, according to Father Spadaro, this is what Pope Francis is now allowing) that is not Spadaro's but the Pope's and that in the judgment of church historian, Alberto Melloni, is worth the whole exhortation'. He obviously thinks this is a great idea. The whole exhortation is 260 pages of hiding, in other words, the rest of the exhortation is not that bad: Pope Francis comes out against abortion; and he comes out against gay marriage. So why does he add those truths? Because Satan mixes in a little lie with a lot of truth. Those truths don't matter because abortion is already legalized in most of the West. Gay marriage is becoming legalized. Pope Francis can come out against those very safely, but the reception of

Communion for divorced and remarried Catholics, that has not been legalized, and that is what Pope Francis is now allowing.

And this article continues, ***‘because all by itself it absolves such couples and makes them eligible for the Eucharist*** (this is, of course, nonsense) ***and this in spite of the fact that this time as well in the 264 pages and 325 paragraphs of the papal exhortation there is not even a single word in favor of communion for the divorced and remarried*** (as I say, Pope Francis hid his little lie very carefully) ***but only a couple of allusions in two minuscule footnotes, numbers 351 and 336, this latter promptly defined by Melloni as crucial’.***

Of course it is ‘crucial’. This is the little opening in the door that Pope Francis wanted to put in there so that the bishops would indeed allow Communion for the divorced and remarried and he wants to do that without getting a lot of the blame. He wants to have people look at the other 260 pages and think that he is a traditional pope, and he is not. He is very, very clever which is, of course, a sign of the False Prophet. ***‘Father Spadaro is not just any Jesuit, he is director of La Civiltà Cattolica which historically has always been the pope’s magazine. For Jorge Mario Bergoglio, Father Spadaro is everything: adviser, interpreter, confidant, scribe. There is no counting the books, articles, tweets that he incessantly writes about him not to mention the pontifical texts then bear the imprint of his hand. He was part of the circle that worked on the drafting of Amoris Laetitia in the closest contact with the pope. And, as procedure would have it, the presentation that Spadaro made of it in La Civiltà Cattolica, was given to Francis to read before it was sent to press’.*** (Did you get that? Pope Francis knows all about this article and exactly what Father Spadaro is saying) ***One more reason to take his exegesis of the document as authorized by him and therefore revealing of his real intentions’.***

Well of course, and I want to tell you about this magazine, La Civiltà Cattolica, and this is the article in Wikipedia, this tells you that this, in fact, came from Pope Francis.

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/La_Civiltà_Cattolica

‘La Civiltà Cattolica is a periodical published by the Jesuits in Rome, Italy, it has been published continuously since 1850 and is among the oldest of Catholic Italian periodicals. It is the only one to be directly revised by the Secretariat of State of the Holy See

and to receive its approval before being published.' So what Father Spadaro is saying is that the Pope is going to allow divorced and remarried Catholics to receive Communion and that he approved this article that Father Spadaro published.

And now I want to read a little bit from LifeSiteNews. This is the article that was published yesterday, April 13th, and I'll put a link to the articles below so you can read them yourself. **'Vatican approved newspaper** (the article I just read for you) **exhortation allows Holy Communion for remarried divorcees'**, and I told you, as I said three or four years ago, that the whole purpose of those bishop's synods was to give this Pope cover, and they didn't do it, so he's doing it on his own. **'As Catholics around the world debate the implications of Pope Francis' controversial apostolic exhortation on the family, Amoris Laetitia, two of his close collaborators are claiming that he has indeed sought to approve granting communion to remarried divorcees, a practice condemned by previous popes as a violation of Scripture and Church teaching'**. Jesus said: **'do not give that which is holy to the dogs'**. What this does is invalidate Holy Communion. I have explained this to you before; I won't do it again on this program. Go to my website; I have a whole article there telling you why Holy Communion is now invalid in the Roman Catholic Church UNLESS, unless your priest or Bishop renounces what the Pope has done. Your priest can still say: 'everyone must be in the state of being a good Catholic in order to receive Holy Communion in this church'.

In fact, I went to a funeral on Tuesday in my local parish church. The parish priest announced: **'only Catholics in good standing can receive communion'**. I was very much encouraged by his words. And so, all Catholics are going to have to do the same; you must determine whether your priest or Bishop is going to go along with Pope Francis. If they do, their consecrations will not be valid and the reason is that Jesus did not give Communion to Judas. He established the precedent.

As I say, go to my website. I have a chart on there proving that Jesus did not give Communion to the traitor, Judas.

https://docs.wixstatic.com/ugd/f9795d_a9625e318d7844a78bd4c07a52d3c89e.pdf

Those living in mortal sin cannot receive Communion. Paul says: **'if you eat and drink the body and blood of our Lord unworthily, you**

are guilty of the body and the blood of our Lord'. But this is far more serious, what the pope is doing, because the Church is now taking away one of the three requirements for a valid sacrifice, for a valid Eucharist. There are three requirements: the priest must say the same words that Jesus said, he must use the same matter, that is, unleavened bread, and he must have the same intention that Jesus had and, as I said, Jesus did not intend to give that which is holy to the dogs.


This article on LifeSiteNews continues because actually, if no Bishop went along with the Pope, everything would be all right but unfortunately, many bishops are going to go along with the Pope and already the bishops of the Philippines have, at least according to this LifeSiteNews article. Now, this is second hand, I wasn't able to find the article on the internet that the Philippine bishops have already announced they will give Communion to divorced and remarried Catholics.

This is not a big deal in the Philippines because divorce is illegal in the Philippines; but that doesn't mean there aren't some Catholics there who are divorced. **'After collective discernment your bishops** (these are the Philippine bishops) **will come up with more concrete guidelines on the implementation of the Apostolic exhortation but mercy cannot wait. Mercy should not wait'**. This document was presented just a few days after the Pope's apostolic exhortation. **'Even now, bishops and priests must open welcoming arms to those who have kept themselves out of the Church because of a sense of guilt and shame. The laity must do no less. When our brothers and sisters who, because of broken relations, broken families** (in other words, divorced and remarried) **stand timidly at the doors of our churches and of our lives unsure whether they are welcome or not, let us go out to meet them, as the Pope urges us to do, and to assure them that at the table of sinners at which the All-Holy Lord offers Himself as food for the wretched, there is always room. Oh, wonderful reality that the poor, the slave and the lowly should partake of the Lord. This is a disposition of mercy, an openness of heart and spirit that needs no law, awaits no guidelines, nor bides on prompting. It can and should happen immediately.'** In other words, the bishops in the Philippines are going to allow divorced and remarried Catholics to receive Communion.

You people in the Philippines, you must determine immediately if your priest is going to go along with this. If they do, their consecrations are

not valid, and I am warning you now, that will be the consequence. And I know, most Catholics and not going to believe me but very soon you will understand that things have changed. In your hearts you will know that Jesus is no longer coming to you in Holy Communion.

And now, I want to go back to Daniel 2,500 years ago when he pinpointed the day that Pope Francis would take the daily sacrifice away from the Prince. In Daniel, chapters 10 and 12 (those are actually one chapter) Daniel sealed up the prophecy so that it would be revealed at this time.



Chapter 12 relates specifically to chapter 10; it must be read in reverse as a chiasm. Thus, verses 2 of chapter 10 and 12 of chapter 12 are related: ***'In those days, I, Daniel mourned the days of three weeks.'*** He mourned because it is the Great Tribulation he is referring to. The three weeks is not an exact time frame, it's a general time frame. ***'Blessed is he that waits and comes unto 1,335 days'***. 1335 days corresponds with the 'three weeks'. That tells us that the three weeks, or, in other words, three years, is not an exact time frame. And that's important because, when I say Daniel prophesied exactly the day that Pope Francis took the daily sacrifice away from the prince, it's not precise to the day, it's a general time frame. Verse number 3: ***'I ate no desirable bread and neither flesh nor wine entered into my mouth*** (that is the Eucharist which Daniel is prophesying about) ***neither was I anointed with oil*** (that refers to the

False Prophet. In other words, he is a pope who is not truly anointed with oil. That is a specific reference to Pope Francis) ***till the days of three weeks were completed*** . These are the three years of great tribulation. And Daniel 12, verse 11, is a description of what I just read, ***'And from the time when the continual sacrifice shall be taken away*** (that is what Pope Francis has done) ***and the abomination unto desolation shall be set up*** (the desolation is that Holy Communion is no longer available in Catholic churches unless your priest renounces what Pope Francis has done) ***there shall be 1290 days***'.

Notice the discrepancy between 1290 days and 1335 days. That has to do with the beginning and end of Armageddon but it also tells us that the three weeks is not precise, it's a general timeframe and here is where Daniel prophesied the timeframe when Pope Francis would give out this apostolic exhortation. ***'In the 24th day of the first month I was by the great river which is the Tigris***'. This is when Daniel has his vision. The 24th day of the first month would be the 24th day of Nisan and this year, 2016, that date is May the 2nd. So, 21 days before the 24th day is when he started his three week fast, the three weeks in which he 'ate no desirable bread', in other words when the Eucharist is banned, the three years of Great Tribulation. Well, three weeks prior to the 24th day of the first month, in other words, three weeks prior to May 2nd, is exactly April the 11th, 2016. That's when these Philippine bishops implemented Pope Francis' exhortation. That's when the 'fasting' began. And, as I say, it's not exact, this also refers to April 8th when the Pope published his exhortation, Amoris Laetitia. So, Daniel is actually more accurate than Father Spadaro. 2,500 years ago he told us the general time frame when Pope Francis would take the daily sacrifice away from the Prince!

This is one of the greatest tragedies to befall mankind. I would advise you as Catholics, please check with your priest; pin him down! Have him announce publicly that he is not going to give Communion to divorced and remarried Catholics, it doesn't matter what the Pope says. If he does that, your Communion will be valid. If he does not do that, if he goes along with this Pope, your Communion will NOT be valid, Jesus will not enter body, blood, soul and divinity into any Eucharist which that priest attempts to consecrate!