

## **Mary's School of Prophecy Open New Year's Letter to Catholic Bishops**

Tonight I thought I would write a letter to the Catholic Bishops in this country to try to prepare them for 2020, because I don't think they know what Pope Francis's machinations are leading to, and it will lead to the invalidation of all of the consecrations of their priests in their diocese unless they stand up to the errors of Amoris Laetitia.

And I thought I would read the letter that I composed, and I don't know if I'll send it to my bishop or not, maybe, and I thought you could give me some of your suggestions as to, did I leave anything out or anything you would think I would add to it. And then after I work it out completely and complete it, I think I will have it posted on my website, so that if any of you would like to send a copy to your bishops, you may do so. Sign it of course with your own name or you could add or subtract to it as you wish.

So first we will stay our prayer to Our Lady of All Nations and then hope all of you can join in. In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit, Amen. Lord Jesus Christ, Son of the Father, send now Your Spirit over the earth. Let the Holy Spirit live in the hearts of all nations, that they may be preserved from degeneration, disaster and war. May the Lady of All Nations, the Blessed Virgin Mary, be our Advocate, Amen.

Okay, let's go through the letter and I'm going to put it on the screen and then read it to you and then maybe make comments afterwards after I read them. So here's how I opened it. I said, "Your Excellency", of course you can put in usually the address should be to a particular Bishop at the beginning,

Your Excellency:

This new year of 2020 could well require you to make a very important decision: will you implement Pope Francis' apostolic exhortation, Amoris Letitia, and allow divorced and civilly remarried Catholics to receive Holy Communion in your diocese? In this letter I hope to explain to you how tragic such a decision could prove to be because it will invalidate all the consecrations of your priests.

And as you can see, I came right to the point. I didn't dilly-dally or beat around the bush in fact maybe it's too direct. It is not what you would call a diplomatic beginning.

Let's read the second paragraph.

As you know, there are three requirements for a Catholic priest to consecrate the real Body and Blood of our Lord. He must use the same words as Jesus used; He must use the same matter as Jesus used; and, he must have the same intention that Jesus had. According to Saint Thomas Aquinas, a priest determines the first two requirements, but the CHURCH determines the latter requirement.

And I will go through in a minute exactly what I mean by that, but that kind of explains a little bit, but like expounds a little bit more on the first paragraph. Okay let's look at the third paragraph.

It is easy for a member of the congregation to see if a priest is using the correct matter. If he tried to consecrate strawberry shortcake, everyone could ascertain the invalid matter. If he tried to say "this MIGHT be my body", everyone could hear he was perverting the rite. We all understand that in such circumstances, the priest's consecrations are invalid.

And I think that's the reason Satan is going after the intention, because it's obvious if the priest tries to consecrate a chocolate chip cookie, although there have been instances of priests trying to consecrate matter that's invalid; I know my priest always uses the correct matter, and he always says the correct words like most priests I know are very careful about saying the proper words, and that's because everybody can hear, but we don't know what the priest's intention is, and that's why St. Thomas Aquinas says that the priest's intention is determined by the Church and that is what Satan is going after, because his goal is to invalidate the Eucharist. Let's look at paragraph number 4.

However, the priest's intention is different because it is hidden. Thus, Saint Thomas teaches that the intention of the priest is determined by the Church. The problem comes when the Church changes the intention. Everyone is aware that if the Church said a priest could use strawberry shortcake or could say "this MIGHT be my body", then the consecrations would be invalid, just like the consecrations in any Protestant denomination.

And there's no question there. Everybody knows that was the truth, if the church were to say that the priests can use any kind of matter they want, most Catholics would understand that that would invalidate the Eucharist, and that's where the Church is at. That's why the False Prophet is not trying to attempt to bring that into the Church, because he knows everybody would recognize that that invalidates the Eucharist. What he is trying to do is much more subtle. Paragraph number 5

In *Amoris Laetitia*, footnote 351, Pope Francis stated that there are certain instances where people living in mortal sin may receive the sacred species. That would be like Jesus saying, "do not give that which is holy to the dogs, except in certain instances". Incidentally, please note that footnote number, 351, which is the backwards expression of the holy number, 153, which is Mary's Rosary number.

I think my grammar was a little bit off there. I'm going to have to fix that up. Paragraph number 6:

Some may object and say that people living in mortal sin receive communion in the Church today. Yes, but that brings condemnation upon them personally. As Saint Paul said "he who eats and drinks the body and blood of our Lord unworthily is guilty of the body and blood of our Lord." Others claim there are priests now who knowingly distribute communion to people living in mortal sin. However, Saint Thomas teaches that their consecrations remain valid even though they bring condemnation upon themselves.

And that's why Satan is going after the intention of the priest, because that is determined by the church. Paragraph number 7:

But if the Church changes the priests' intentions, as proposed by Pope Francis, and requires that they give that which is holy to the dogs, then Jesus will not enter into their eucharists. It is impossible for Jesus to enter into the soul of someone living in mortal sin. The two are incompatible. That is why Jesus sent Judas away from the Last Supper before He established the Eucharist. Holy Communion is a sacrament, not a sacramental.

It's possible here I should maybe give some footnotes or maybe do a little more explanation of the Last Supper. I just state that and I assume that priests know that or the bishops know that. Paragraph 8:

In Poland, the Bishops have publicly announced that they will not implement Amoris Laetitia. Their priests may not give communion to the divorced and civilly remarried. In Germany and Malta, the Bishops have indicated the opposite. Cardinal Cupich has even stated that anyone and everyone may receive communion in his archdiocese of Chicago. For priests under heretical bishops, in order to maintain the validity of their consecrations, they must publicly announce they will not follow their false directives.

And I think my own personal priest; I hope that he will stand up to the bishop if the bishop decides to implement Amoris Laetitia. The USCCB, the United States Conference of Catholic Bishops or as Michael Voris says the National Useless Conference of Catholic Bishops, they have appointed a commission to study how to implement Amoris Laetitia, and I thought for sure at this last false convocation they would announce the results and vote on it, but I never heard anything about it. If they did, they did it in secret, and maybe they will try to avoid making a decision on it. Paragraph 9:

I urge you to remain in solidarity with the bishops of Poland rather than the Bishops of Malta and Germany. This proposed invalidation of the Eucharist is the "Abomination of Desolation spoken of by Daniel the prophet" which Jesus warned about in Matthew 24. Daniel wrote: "I ate no desirable bread, and neither flesh, nor wine, entered into my mouth, neither was I anointed with oil: till the days of three weeks were accomplished" (Dan 10:3). The "desirable bread, flesh and wine" refers to the Eucharist. Not "anointed with oil" means this heresy will come about by a false prophet who is not truly anointed.

Now maybe I should be a little more specific there about whom the False Prophet is but I'm trying to correct one error at a time here. I don't want to turn bishops off immediately and tell them, do you realize that Pope Francis is the False Prophet? Besides I still pray for Pope Francis, because he can convert. He could leave the papacy and go back to being a cardinal, which is in a chart that I published. The False Prophet is a cardinal in the Catholic Church.

Okay, here's my last paragraph.

Daniel adds in chapter 12, verse 11, "And from the time when the continual sacrifice shall be taken away, and the abomination unto desolation shall be set up, there shall be a thousand two hundred ninety days". The good news is that this abomination of desolation, warned about by Jesus, that is the establishment of invalid eucharists, the same as those found in Protestant churches will last for only 3-3 1/2 years.

And then I guess I would sign it my name or you can sign it with your name if you want to copy that over. So do you have any suggestions as to any improvements or maybe explanations? Should I expound in certain areas? Whatever you think of; should I add something? Let me know what you think.

So until I do see you all again, may God bless all of you and may all of you have a very blessed evening.

\* \* \*

The following is a reply to the Catholic Bishops' Open Letter and is taken from the transcript of 28<sup>th</sup> Feb 2020

Catholic Crusader, one of my subscribers, has an interesting letter and I'm going to read part of that. And Catholic Crusader says, "Good afternoon Professor T and remnant Catholics, I received my letter from my archbishop regarding William's open letter to the bishops, and here is what he wrote.

***'Dear Mr. Daniel, thank you for your letter of February 18<sup>th</sup> 2020. In response I can assure you that the Archdiocese of Vancouver has no plans to implement any suggestion that Catholics in a "marriage", which is not sacramental, can receive Holy Communion. In truth I do not read Amoris Laetitia as following this either. Have a blessed Lenten season, I am sincerely yours in Christ, J Michael Miller CSP, Archbishop of Vancouver.'***

Well I know you said I'm not very happy with his response. It's very bland and especially the most important part for me regarding Amoris Laetitia. Yes he didn't address that issue, but that's a little ambitious. No one in the Church is going to understand about the invalidation of the Eucharist. They're not going to understand that. You would think they would, but they haven't read Thomas Aquinas. They're mostly administrators. Most bishops are administrators. That's their forte. That's why they get to be admitted bishops. They don't get to be bishops because they are theologians that's for sure, but I don't think that's too bad a response myself. I would be encouraged by that. I mean, I admit he didn't address Amoris Laetitia, but he said that Catholics who are in a so-called marriage which is not sacramental couldn't receive Holy Communion.

Now the thing is, is he going to announce that publicly? I think I would. Has your priest answered yet by the way? I think I would show that letter to your priest, and if you wanted to, you could go back and urge the bishop to make that clear to everyone, or you could maybe write a letter to the newspaper or whatever, but I think that's encouraging. I've not received a response from my bishop yet, nor from my pastor as far as that goes, so I don't think that's too bad. As we're in class, I would give it a B+. I wouldn't give it an A, because like as you say, he certainly could have discussed the other issues. He wasn't in a discussion mood, that's for sure.