## Mary's School of Prophecy Catholic Planet Lists Me as a False Prophet LOL!

Welcome once again everyone to Mary's School of Prophecy. Every day we look at prophecy on this channel. I'm your host William Tapley also known as the Third Eagle of the Apocalypse and the co-prophet of these End Times.

And I'm going to talk a little bit about those titles because they are mentioned by a gentleman who went by the name Ronald Conte Jr, and I guess he passed away. I didn't know that this morning but someone mentioned that he is now deceased. Anyway I guess he had quite an active website at the time. There's a lot of information on him and obviously I think quite a bit of it is false because he labeled me as a false prophet, and I wish he were still alive because I would challenge him to a debate.

And the reason I came across his website called Catholic Planet is because there is some controversy on Ida Peerdeman, and that's because of the prayer I usually start this session with, but let's just say the prayer because I'm going to talk about it in a minute. We'll say this prayer, and this is the prayer to Our Lady of All Nations and it was given to us by this seer, who goes by the name of Ida Peerdeman.

In the name of the Father, the Son and the Holy Spirit. Amen. Lord Jesus Christ, Son of the Father, send now Your Spirit over the earth. Let the Holy Spirit live in the hearts of all nations that they may be preserved from corruption, disaster and war. May the Lady of All Nations, who once was Mary, be our Advocate. Amen.

And, since I started praying that before this class, to me it seemed like a good prayer and it was sent by Catholic Crusader, and I said, "Fine, let's go with that", and I've had one of my very loyal subscribers say that this is a false affirmation and another one of my very loyal subscribers said this is a very true affirmation, so I am in a quandary because I have no way to judge. I am really not an expert. In fact I hope not to ever do this program again because I really don't like to talk about other seers. I'm not a seer myself. I'm not a prophet. I've never said I was. I am a co-prophet, and my job is to interpret prophecy after unsealing the prophecy.

And the only seer I used to follow was Veronica Lueken at Bayside. Well I also followed Necedah also and I've read all of her prophecies and I could not find



Veronica Leuken, Bayside, New York

anything in there against faith and morals and they were very interesting prophecies. For example she says that Pope John Paul I was murdered. She names the cardinals who did it and she said how they did it, and of course this is Mary talking to her. And I know critics could say that well Satan is the one who was behind murdering John Paul I, so he would know all those details also, and that's possible. I mean how can any of us know if Veronica Lueken was actually seeing Mary or Jesus or the saints, because no one else did that I know of. I didn't and it's the same with all of these seers, like Medjugorje. I really don't get much out of it at all because they're not interesting. I

mean they're all right, but they're what I would call, bland but if you know people get something out of it, who am I to judge, as another famous person said.

All right, in any case I think I'll just look at a little bit of the chat here and then let's look at what Ronald Conte said about me. Indy says "William, if you are a false prophet according to Ronald Conte Jr., he is much falser. He has made numerous outrageous predictions that did not come to pass during the years he said they would happen."

And I do remember following some of his predictions, oh like I would say ten years ago, and I thought he was interesting and then he said something that had to do with 2000, the new Millennium and I said well that's interesting and that will be very easy to see if he's a false prophet, because it's going to come true or not in a few months. Well, sure enough after a few months it did not come true, so I went back and I said, well let's see what he says. Well he had simply erased the prophecy from his website, which is a good way to do it, but he did not explain at all how he was so wrong. So I don't consider him much of an expert, but he does have a list of hundreds of seers on his site, and I haven't really looked at all of them but I think I will, just to learn a little bit more about seers.

So when I talk about a seer on here I just want to let everybody know that I'm not speaking authoritatively by any stretch of the imagination, but I know a lot of you are far more authoritative than I am, so I tend to agree with whatever you say but when I have two of my very loyal subscribers who have completely opposing views on Ida Peerdeman, I don't know what to do. I don't know whether to pray this prayer anymore or not, so let me know what you think, whether I should continue praying it or not because I mean there's enough controversy about me, that I don't need to have controversy about other people, especially when I don't know anything about them. I'm not going to take sides. That's for sure.

Okay so the first one I'm interested in is what Ronald Conte said about me, so I'm going to read this. This is the entire message and this is what he says.

"Number 216." So you can see he's got a lot of seers in here. "William Tapley (thirdeaglemedia.com)": well he's got my website right: "claims the following: 'it occurred to me to ask the Lord, 'who is the eagle of the Apocalypse' (Revelation 8:13). My thoughts immediately answered 'you are'. This really startled me but I knew that it came from God and was not a product of my imagination'. No I think it was his own imagination and pride (or the words of a fallen angel)."

Well I did not mention that when I wrote my book, my sister would not type it in. I had to type that in myself, and looking back on it, I almost think maybe it would have been better for me not to mention it because just as Ronald Conte says here, he didn't believe me. And it doesn't bother me if people don't believe me, because I'm sure the message was for me. It was to encourage me I'm sure. And it really doesn't have anything to do with my co-prophecies of interpreting the Bible, so if he doesn't want to believe that, you know that's fine. I have no objection. I can't

prove it. How could I ever prove something like that? You know, I can't. I'm not here to try to prove it, but the next part of it, is what really gets me, the next part of his criticism of me. Let's read that.

He says, "The false prophets on this list are all self-exalting. Tapley claims to be one of the co-prophets of the end times." No, I claim to be the only co-prophet, so far. "He also speaks as if he is the judge over Pope Francis", well I certainly have a right to criticize the Pope. A lot of saints have criticized the Pope: "to decide if he is good or bad. And his interpretation of the passages of eschatology in the Bible is absurd", well this is where he really falls on his face; "it has nothing in common with the eschatology of the Saints and of the Church."

Well, how about some examples Ronald you know? Let's put your money where your mouth is, and let me see what you're talking about, and I should have gone through and looked at what else he has written, because somewhere else<sup>1</sup> he mentions the reign of the Antichrist is for seven years, and he says according to Daniel 9:27. Well Mr Conte, the Antichrist is not found at 9:27, and so there's a case where he and I could have had a lively discussion. In other words he should give me examples of what he thinks is my false eschatology.

So that's all I have to say about what he put in there about me. It's too bad he's not around to discuss eschatology because that's my favorite subject which I do know quite a bit. And when I say I'm the only co-prophet, I'm not saying I am the only co-prophet, but I'm the only one that I know of. There may be others. There should be others, I would think.

Okay now, we just prayed that prayer from Ida Peerdeman. I think she was a seer in Amsterdam and I really don't know much about her. I'm not sure if I've ever read any of her prophecies or not. Anyway I guess she was quite popular at one time and I have no objection to that prayer I think. So let's just read what he says about her, because he lists her. I think he says in his opinion she's the worst false prophet, so let's read what he says, and this is just part of it.

"3. <u>Ida Peerdeman</u>. These messages call the Blessed Virgin Mary: 'the Lady', not 'Our Lady,' and they claim that she is no longer to be called 'Mary'." I didn't get that that she's no longer to be called Mary. "The messages even instruct people to use this expression 'the Lady of all nations who once was Mary' in a prayer before the Cross. (message of Feb. 11 1951)." And that's what we just prayed. "Peerdeman recounts a conversation with the apparition of the Lady: 'I look again at the Lady and say, 'What am I to call you?' (I had to ask this again). She answers me. 'Just call me, The Lady.' (message of August 15<sup>th</sup> 1950)."

And now here is Ronald Conte's objection. "To the contrary, the holy Catholic Church continues to call the Mother of Our Lord: 'Our Lady' and 'Mary.' And,

.

https://www.catholicplanet.com/future/antichrist-timeline.htm No. 5

in subsequent apparitions of the Virgin Mary, such as at Medjugorje": he does mention Medjugorje evidently: "Mary herself continues to be called Mary. In fact of all the true private revelations in the history of the Church, in none of these has Mary abandoned the name of Mary."

Well it doesn't sound to me as if she's abandoning the name in this prophecy, but Mary has all kinds of titles, many, many, many titles. Just read the prayers that you say to the house of gold, Ark of the Covenant, all of those prayers of the <u>Litany</u>, the Litany of the Blessed Virgin Mary. She has many, many titles. So to call her the Lady and to reject Ida Peerdeman just because of that, you know I think is a stretch, and I'm not saying that she's a true prophet. I don't know but I'm just saying that I don't think Ronald Conte thought that through.

As I say he's got hundreds on there and I picked these out because we've been talking about these people lately on the chat, and the next one is from Holy Love and I guess I'm going there on April 19<sup>th</sup>. This is Maureen Sweeney Kyle and this is a quote from her, and here's what he says about her.

"2. <u>Maureen Sweeney Kyle (Holy Love)</u> 'The world economy is based on mankind's love of consumerism. Satan is taking charge of the world's finances. He is putting into place the 'angel' chip which in years just ahead all will find necessary to have in order to conduct even the simplest of business on a day-to-day basis. This 'angel' chip - so named to give it a positive image - will be inserted under the skin. It will be touted as a way of finding lost children, making financial transactions more expedient and making readily available personal data in case of emergencies.' This kind of talk about computer chips and the Antichrist is indicative of false private revelation."

Well I don't see that at all. I thought what she just said is quite interesting. To me I accept everything she just said. She says they will call it the angel chip. I think that's what they will try, to put a positive spin on it, and I think the chip, it's either an RFID chip or something very much like that, and that coincides with the Mark of the Beast in the Book of Revelation. I think that's a pretty good description of the Mark of the Beast.

And so now let's look at the next one that he has listed. I mean he says many more things about both Ida and Maureen. I'm not by any means am I saying that I am giving him a fair hearing on this. That's not my point. Here's what he says about John Leary.

"John Leary. False claim that the faithful must flee during the tribulation to special refuges, led there by angels. There is no support in Scripture or true private revelation, or the writings of the Saints and Blesseds, for the idea that the good people of the world will run away to special hiding places, led there by angels."

And he's completely off on that. I mean Jesus in the Olivet Discourse; He talks about four different flight patterns, and to flee to refuges. He tells the Jews, those who are in Judea, flee to the mountains, those on the rooftop, not come down for any reason. Now that refers to the rapture, so it's not a real flight, but it's leaving to a safe place. The third one is let those who are in the field to not go back to take their cloak. That refers to Catholics, and Jesus said it would be as in the days of Noah and Lot and these are the two different ways of saving yourself, and fleeing is legitimate. When the Deep State takes over the entire world and they almost have reached that point now, flight is recommended if we're lucky. If we pray, if we stay in a state of grace, we will be able to find a safe refuge, so his criticism of that is to me off the wall. So let me continue on what he says here.

"In fact, the Book of Revelation makes it clear that even holy persons must suffer during the tribulation. The Church must suffer, just as Christ suffered. The faithful will not be given miraculous hiding places during the tribulation. This false idea is similar to the Protestant false idea that the faithful will be taken quickly away from the world in a 'rapture,' just before the tribulation. On the contrary, the faithful must suffer through the tribulation, just as Christ suffered through his Passion and Crucifixion."

Well, nobody ever said it's going to be a picnic in the desert. In fact it's not going to be a picnic, so the church does suffer a great deal. I don't see how the two concepts are irreconcilable. I mean I'm sure Lot would probably have stayed out of Sodom and Gomorrah if he could have, but he fled. He had to leave the city.

Probably Noah would just as soon have not have had to build an ark and stay in it for 40 days, so it's not going to be a picnic. So he's right about that. The church does have to suffer, but we will be saved just as they that were saved when the nuclear bomb fell on Hiroshima. The family that prayed the Rosary, they were protected even though they lived only six blocks from ground zero.

And I'm not saying that John Leary is 100% right. I'm not doing this to say that these people are correct, because I don't know. I mean I've met him. He seems like a nice guy to me. That's all I know and I think he's right about refuges.

Okay now the next person, I only recognize this name because Sister Renée has talked about her, and I guess she knows her personally and that's Sadie Jaramillo. So here's what Ronald Conte says about Sadie. This is a quote from one of her prophecies.

"October 13, 1999. Jesus: 'The time arrives for all humanity to undergo the greatest of all trials. You will see my True Church broken in two! You will see them TRY to stamp out belief in My True Presence! This will happen as a result of the crowning title given to my mother, Mary: Co-redemptrix, Mediatrix and Advocate of all Graces!"

I really don't see anything wrong with that. The church is going to split in two, the Great Schism and it's going to be over the Eucharist. Now I'm not sure when she

says it's going to be caused by the declaration of Mary as co-Redemptrix. That part may not be correct but the rest of it I would say it's correct. Now here's what else he says about Sadie Jaramillo.

"Also, the true meaning of the term co-Redemptrix is not that Mary is a co-Redeemer with Christ. Jesus and Mary have two very different roles in our salvation: Christ is the sole Redeemer:" Yes I agree. "Mary worships Christ;" yes, and so does all mankind, "and immerses herself entirely in His work of redemption. She is not a redeemer or co-redeemer," well this I disagree with, "for the term co-Redemptrix is feminine, and so Mary has the role of Eve, as a helper. Mary assists Christ in His role as Redeemer; she is not at all a co-Redeemer."

Well, in a way he's right. We have to understand that Jesus is the sole Redeemer. The doctrine of Mary as co-Redemptrix does not change that at all, and I think it hinges on the meaning of the word "co". If you think of co-Redemptrix as a co-worker, that would not be correct because that connotes equality. Mary is not a co-worker with Jesus. A person that works at a mill and of course I worked at a mill for a long time; co-workers are equal. We were all co-workers. We were all on the payroll, and to carry that analogy further, the person that pays our bill, he's not a co-worker, he's the plant manager. So Jesus would be the plant manager but, to say that Mary is a co-Redeemer in the same sense of a co-pilot on an airplane, and I've given this analogy many times, Jesus is the pilot. No doubt about it. Mary is the co-pilot. That means that she is not equal to Jesus, just like a co-pilot is not equal to the pilot but, the plane cannot get off the ground without the co-pilot on board, and redemption of mankind could not succeed without Mary's Fiat and I think we understand that. So I think some of what he just said I do agree with.

Now the next one is another personal friend of Sister Renée's and the reason I picked these names was because I know Sister Renée has mentioned these people quite a few times. This is Carol Ameche, and this prophecy was given in 2004.

September 9, 2004. <u>Carol Ameche</u>. "My dearest one, I am your God and Father. I bring great strength today, as you have requested. Daughter, the great storms are about to flatten much of the state of Florida. For years My Church there has taken a liberal and promiscuous turn. My priest sons, and those who think of themselves as holy, have hurled blasphemy and ridicule in My Face. They show their disbelief in My Commandments by their callous and unclean behaviour. It is this continuous attraction to self-gratification that brings My wrath upon this state filled with the most beautiful of My Creation."

Now this was 2004, so I think that's quite amazing that she was talking about the unclean priests and all those terms. This was before all of these crises came about and it doesn't mean that she actually saw that Jesus actually said those words to her. I have no way of verifying that one way or another. And you could say that's not really too original because Mary said the same thing at La Salette, but on the

other hand if you were a false prophet, I don't think you would stick your nose out there and say something that really was not in the newspapers at all back then. So in other words the prophecy like that is worthwhile for people who were listening to it at the time.

Okay I think that is the last one I have. He has, as I say, many other people on his website, and I kind of took it personally when he talked about me on there. And in the first part that he talked about me, he disagreed with my title there. He said it was maybe a demon that inspired me, and I know that's not true but, my work as a co-prophet does not depend on my titles.

Okay everybody let's take a look at the chat and see if any of you would like to talk about this topic. Sister Renée says, "Those who specifically think they have to list the ones they think are false prophets, are the ones we need to be fearful of because they are trying to legitimatize their narcissistic errors." And yes and I've read this out elsewhere in other places about Ronald Conte, that whenever he would make a prophecy and it didn't come out, he would just take the prophecy off of his website, which is one way to do it, but it's kind of nervy of him. Don't complain about other false prophets when he ought to be high on their list

Okay thanks everybody. So until I see all of you again, may God bless all of you and may all of you have a very blessed day.