

Pope Francis! Fornication! Holy Communion!

Today I have bad news and bad news and both of the bad news comes from our False Prophet of the End Times and that is Pope Francis, because now he is going to allow fornicators to receive Holy Communion. And I read the following article just this past week from LifeSiteNews and here's the headline: ***'Diocese of Rome's new guidelines allow Communion for sexually active cohabiting couples in 'limited' cases'***. That goes against everything Jesus said! He said: ***'No fornicator will ever enter the kingdom of heaven'***. But, of course, the False Prophet will undermine the words of Jesus every chance he gets. And so, let me read this part of this article for you, and I'll put the link to it below, and the dateline is October 5th, this past Wednesday, I believe, LifeSiteNews, and the author is Clair Chretien, and she writes a lot of excellent articles on Pope Francis' apostolic exhortation, Amoris Laetitia, which undermines and perverts Church teaching and Bible teaching.

<https://www.lifesitenews.com/news/diocese-of-romes-new-guidelines-allow-communion-for-sexually-active-cohabit>

Here's what she writes: ***'New guidelines about the interpretation of Amoris Laetitia issued for the Pope's own Diocese of Rome suggest that couples living in a state the Church labels objectively sinful (that is mortal sin) may in limited circumstances receive Holy Communion in a 'discreet manner' (and 'discreet manner' is in quotation marks). The release of the new guidelines follows closely on the Vatican's authentication of a letter from Pope Francis to Argentine Bishops affirming that the only valid interpretation of the exhortation is one that similarly liberalizes sacramental practice.*** (I disagree with her choice of the word, 'liberalize'; a better choice would be 'perverts', perverts sacramental practice) ***The Vicar of the Diocese of Rome*** (now listen to this; here's where Pope Francis likes to delegate his dirty work to somebody else) ***Cardinal Agostino Vallini publicized the official diocesan guidelines for the implementation of Amoris Laetitia last month. Pope Francis is the Bishop of the Diocese of Rome.*** (Don't forget that he is the ultimate authority in Rome, that's his own personal diocese) ***According to veteran Vatican journalist, Sandro Magister, 'it is unthinkable that the Cardinal vicar made these guidelines official without the supreme proprietor of the diocese having first read and approved them'.*** But, of course, he likes to keep his hand

behind it secret; he likes to let the Cardinal take the heat. The fact is, Pope Francis is all in favor of not only divorced and civilly remarried Catholics receiving Communion but also those living together, shacking up, those who are in fornication. As Jesus said, let me repeat: **'No fornicator will ever enter the kingdom of heaven'**.

And this article continues: **'The Diocese of Rome's guidelines suggest that unmarried couples living together and engaging in a sexual relationship may receive the sacraments without repenting if continence makes the 'stability' (again in quotation marks) of their relationship 'difficult'** (Jesus did not say: 'no fornicator will enter the kingdom of heaven unless it makes the stability of their relationship difficult.' Jesus did not add those exceptions! Only the False Prophet is adding those exceptions) **and after a period of discernment with their confessor. Such couples only may be admitted to the sacraments if there is the moral certainty that the first marriage of one of the two parties was null** (and it doesn't have to be an annulled marriage or a marriage at all. It could be these are two people who are cohabiting) **but there are not enough proofs to demonstrate this in a judicial setting** (In other words, priests are going to be allowed to give annulments in the so-called 'internal forum') **However, they may not receive the sacraments if their sinful relationship is 'shown off as if it were part of the Christian ideal', according to the guidelines.'** Well, this is all gobbledygook! I mean, maybe if you live in a big city you can be cohabiting and unless you carry a sign down to Communion which says I'm shacking up with my girlfriend nobody's going to know it. But that's not true a small town. I live in a small town and you're not going to cohabit with your girlfriend without everybody knowing it, believe me!

And the article continues: **'The guidelines also state that the decision to permit a couple to the Sacraments should be taken by a confessor in the context of the internal forum** (this is nonsense) **through discussions with the couple's confessors over time it is possible to begin and develop with him an itinerary of long, patient conversion** (supposedly 'over time' you can overturn what Jesus said) **made of small steps and of progressive verifications read the guidelines'**, (more gobbledygook) **so it can be none other than the confessor, at a certain point, in his conscience, after much reflection and prayer**, (well, how much, how much reflection and prayer? What has he got to do, pray for a week, a month, a year? The guidelines don't say anything about that. They want to change the

gospel no matter how long it takes. Pope Francis will succeed) ***who must assume the responsibility before God and the penitent and ask that the access take place in a discreet manner.***'

What a joke! Now, here's what Pope John Paul II wrote; his guidelines are true and accurate and faithful to the words of Jesus in the gospel: ***'The Church reaffirms her practice which is based upon Sacred Scripture, of not admitting to Eucharistic Communion divorced persons who have remarried (and I might add, certainly not people living in fornication) they are unable to be admitted thereto from the fact that their state and condition of life objectively contradict that union of love between Christ and the Church which is signified and affected by the Eucharist. Besides this, there is another special pastoral reason: if these people were admitted to the Eucharist, the faithful would be led into error and confusion regarding the Church's teaching about the indissolubility of marriage.'***

And Pope John Paul II, who is now a Saint continues, and this is from his encyclical, Familiaris Consortio, ***'Reconciliation in the sacrament of Penance, which would open the way to the Eucharist, can only be granted to those who, repenting of having broken the sign of the Covenant and of fidelity to Christ, are sincerely ready to undertake a way of life that no longer is in contradiction to the indissolubility of marriage. That means, in practice, that when for serious reasons, such as for example of children's upbringing, a man and a woman cannot satisfy the obligation to separate, they take on themselves the duty to live in complete continence, that is abstinence from the acts proper to married couples.'***

And what is the second bad news? The second bad news is that the Pope, that is the Bishop of Rome, by allowing Communion to people living in mortal sin, means that the Eucharists that all priests consecrate in the city of Rome are no longer valid! And I know a lot of people disagree with me on that but you will find out the hard way. People in Chicago, I am sure, are already discovering that their Eucharists are not valid. They feel it in their hearts and that's because Bishop Cupich of Chicago has said anyone and everyone may receive Holy Communion. Well, I hate to have to tell you, Bishop Cupich, but Jesus did not give Communion to the traitor Judas. He sent him away from the Lord's table.

And you bishops, if you want Communion to be valid in your churches, as in Poland, you have to go against what Pope Francis is doing. And I want to read just a little bit from Summa Theologica. This is St. Thomas's epic on the Church; he is a doctor of the Church and this is question 64, and it's about the priest's intention. Who determines the priest's intention as far as the validity of the sacrament goes? And this is part of his objection 'one' and this is article 10, of Summa Theologica. I believe it's question 64: ***'It seems that the validity of a sacrament requires a good intention of the Minister for the minister's intention should be in conformity with the Church's intention but the intention of the Church is always good'***. Here is where St. Thomas can't foresee a time in which the Church's intention would be perverted, and would become evil. What he explains in his Summa Theologica answers is that it is not the priest's intention that determines the validity of the sacrament, it's the Church's intention. But if the Church's intention is, as I say, perverted, then the sacrament cannot be valid.

Next, he gives an example of where, if the minister's or the priest's intention is not moral, that does not change the validity of the Sacrament and that's true so long as the Church's intention is true: ***'The minister's intention may be perverted as to something that follows the Sacrament. For instance, a priest may intend to baptize a woman so as to be able to abuse her or to consecrate the body of Christ so as to use it for sorcery and because that which comes first does not depend on that which follows, consequently such a perverse intention does not annul the Sacrament but the minister himself sins grievously in having such an intention'***. And of course, that's because the priest's intention is hidden; it is the Church's intention which takes precedence and these are all signs of the End Times. It's a sign that the first Abomination of Desolation has taken place as prophesied by Daniel in his chapter 10, verse number 3: ***'I ate no desirable bread and neither flesh nor wine entered into my mouth neither was I anointed with oil for a period of three weeks'***. Those three weeks symbolize three years. I'm not sure when Pope Francis first took the daily sacrifice away from the prince. I predicted it would be during Holy Week of 2014 and sure enough, Pope Francis, on Easter Monday of 2014, telephoned that woman in Argentina and said that she could receive Communion even though she was divorced and civilly remarried.

If the time began then, three or three and one-half years would take us to the fall of 2017. Now the period could start later with timing of the publication of *Amoris Laetitia*. The dates are not so important as knowing that, unless your priest or bishop contradicts the Pope and publicly goes against what fake Francis is saying, your Communion is invalid because, as I say, the Church's intention supersedes the priest's intention; it has always been that way. What's new is that now the Church's intention is evil. Pope Francis is turning his One World Religion into a Protestant organization which I have stated many times on this program would be the case. The Eucharists in Protestant churches are not valid, that is, they are not the real body and the blood of our Lord, Jesus Christ, and that's because they also allow divorced and remarried and fornicators to receive Holy Communion. Their Communion is not valid and the Communion in Pope Francis' Church, the One World Religion will not be valid either, unless, as I say, your priest or bishop contradicts the Pope and publicly says I am NOT going to give communion to people living in mortal sin.



<https://amoris.ie/amoris-laetitia/>

Paragraph 305

"Because of forms of conditioning and mitigating factors, it is possible that in an objective situation of sin* – which may not be subjectively culpable, or fully such – a person can be living in God's grace, can love and can also grow in the life of grace and charity, while receiving the Church's help to this end." See Footnote 351

* in other words, divorced and civilly remarried Catholics

Footnote 351

In certain cases, this can include the help of the sacraments. Hence, "I want to remind priests that the confessional must not be a torture chamber, but rather an encounter with the Lord's mercy." (Apostolic Exhortation *Evangelii Gaudium* [24 November 2013], 44: AAS 105 [2013], 1038). I would also point out that the Eucharist "is not a prize for the perfect, but a powerful medicine and nourishment for the weak" (*ibid*, 47: 1039).